UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,029	06/20/2003	Gary Schneider	40116/03701	6358	
Fay Kaplun & N	7590 09/25/200 Marcin , LLP	EXAMINER			
Suite 702	,	REZA, MOHAMMAD W			
150 Broadway New York, NY	10038	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2136		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/600,029	SCHNEIDER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		WOT IN CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF	2100	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>09 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
a fo	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on pplication, applicant must timely file one of the following pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Applor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ceriods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, whi with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3	ch places the 3) a Request
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) 🖸	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejection.	
have be under 3 set forth may red	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(cons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ten filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the solin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) IE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office a	extension fee action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of	of the date of
fi N	ling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte lotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w DMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the a	
(The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) They raise new issues that would require further co b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		use
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		issues for
(1	d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		ected claims.	
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment (PT	OL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
n	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).		•	_
h T C C	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ii be entered and an exp	anation of
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails t	
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached	
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance	because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	ser G Moazzami/ rvisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner withdraws the 112 first and second paragraphs rejection as satisfactory argument presented by the applicant.

Applicant argues that "the first device including only a data capturing arrangement" whereas O'Hara's device has other arrangement of input including the data capturing device. Examiner respectfully traverses this argument. This is totally irrelevant argument because O'hara successfully discloses that his device has a scanner as a data capturing device. When some device has a scanner with other input facilities it obviously discloses the idea that some device could have only a scanner excluded other input. This is not an invention at all and it discloses by the cited reference. Moreover, although O'HARA's device has other input peripheral for capturing the biometric information it only uses the scanner input. Thus it discloses the only data capturing device.